Birdville Independent School District Jack C. Binion Elementary 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Our mission at Jack C Binion Elementary is to provide a high quality education in an inclusive environment for life-long learners.

Vision

Jack C Binion Elementary is devoted to developing a community of life-long exemplary learners.

Core Beliefs

Core Beliefs - Meaningful Relationships, High Expectations, Growth Mindset, Empowering, Foster a Love for Learning

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Demographics (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022)	4
Student Programs (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022)	5
Staff Information (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022)	5
	5
Student Indicators (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022)	6
Special Education Services (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022)	7
Student Learning	8
School Processes & Programs	19
Perceptions	20
Priority Problem Statements	21
Goals	22
Goal 1: Students will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to the academic, social, and emotional needs of the student.	23
Goal 2: Utilize efficient and effective operations to support and improve the learning organization.	31
Goal 3: All students and staff will learn and work in a safe and responsive environment.	33
Title I	34
1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)	35
1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment	35
2. Campus Improvement Plan	35
2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders	35
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	35
2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language	35
2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards	36
2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education	36
2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk	37
3. Annual Evaluation	37
3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan	37
4. Parent and Family Engagement (PFE)	38
4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy	38
4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings	38
5. Targeted Assistance Schools Only	38
Title I Personnel	38
Campus Funding Summary	39
Addendums	40

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: September 1, 2022

Demographics

Demographics Summary

<u>728</u>	100%	
Early Education Grade	<u>1</u>	0.14%
Pre-Kindergarten Grade	<u>42</u>	5.77%
Kindergarten Grade	<u>102</u>	14.01%
1st Grade	<u>114</u>	15.66%
2nd Grade	<u>123</u>	16.90%
3rd Grade	<u>116</u>	15.93%
4th Grade	<u>101</u>	13.87%
5th Grade	<u>129</u>	17.72%

Student Demographics (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022) Count Percent

Gender		
Female	<u>378</u>	51.92%
Male	<u>350</u>	48.08%
Ethnicity		
Hispanic-Latino	<u>412</u>	56.59%
Race		
American Indian - Alaskan Native	<u>3</u>	0.41%
Asian	<u>4</u>	0.55%
Black - African American	<u>107</u>	14.70%

Student Demographics (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022) Count Percent White 171 23.49% Two-or-More 26 3.57%

Student Programs (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022)

Dyslexia 50 6.87%
Gifted and Talented 22 3.02%
Regional Day School Program for the Deaf00.00%
Section 504 577.83% Special Education (SPED) 62 8.52%
Bilingual/ESLEmergent Bilingual (EB) 291 39.97%
Bilingual 256 35.16%
English as a Second Language (ESL) 27 3.71%
Alternative Bilingual Language Program 00.00%
Alternative ESL Language Program 6 0.82%
Title I Part ASchoolwide Program 728 100.00%
Targeted Assistance 00.00%
Targeted Assistance Previously Participated 00.00%
Title I Homeless 00.00%
Neglected 00.00%

Staff Information (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022)

CountPercentAdministrative Support<u>15</u> 19.23% Teacher<u>50</u> 64.10% Educational Aide<u>13</u> 16.67%

Teaching Staffing: Of 39 Classroom Teachers PK - 5th & SPED

- 27 of the 39 have been hired in the last 3 hiring seasons 2020 2022
- 13 of the 39 were hired this year (4 of them are first year teachers)
- 22 of the 39 have been hired the last two years (12 of them 1st year teachers)
- 6 of the 7 staff I hired my first year were first year teachers

Student Indicators (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022)) Count	Percent
At-Risk	<u>527</u>	72.39%
Foster Care	<u>5</u>	0.69%
IEP Continuer	0	0.00%
Immigrant	<u>37</u>	5.08%
Intervention Indicator	<u>318</u>	43.68%
Migrant	0	0.00%
Military Connected	<u>2</u>	0.27%
Transfer In Students	<u>16</u>	2.1978%
Unschooled Asylee/Refugee	0	0%
Economic Disadvantage		
Economic Disadvantage Total	<u>578</u>	79.40%
Free Meals	<u>498</u>	68.41%
Reduced-Price Meals	<u>80</u>	10.99%
Other Economic Disadvantage	0	0.00%
Homeless and Unaccompanied Youth		
Homeless Status Total	<u>7</u>	0.96%
Shelter	<u>3</u>	0.41%
Doubled Up	<u>2</u>	0.27%
Unsheltered	0	0.00%

Student Indicators (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022)	Count	Percent
Hotel/Motel	<u>2</u>	0.27%
Not Unaccompanied Youth	<u>7</u>	0.96%
Is Unaccompanied Youth	0	0.00%

Special Education Services (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022) Count Percent **Primary Disabilities** No Disability 0.00% 0 **Orthopedic impairment** 0.00% Other health impairment 11.29% **Auditory impairment** 0.00% Visual impairment 0.00% **Deaf-Blind** 0.00% **Intellectual disability** 4.84% 6.45% **Emotional disturbance** Learning disability **18** 29.03% **Speech impairment 22** 35.48% 12.90% **Autism Developmental delay** 0.00% Traumatic brain injury 0.00% Noncategorical early childhood 0.00% **Instructional Settings Speech Therapy 22** 35.48% Homebound 0.00% **Hospital Class** 0.00% Mainstream 3.23% **Resource Room 37** 59.68%

Off Home Campus

VAC

0.00%

0.00%

Special Education Services (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/20/2022)	Count	Percent
State School	0	0.00%
Residential Care	0	0.00%
Self Contained	<u>1</u>	1.61%
Full-Time Early Childhood	0	0.00%
Nonpublic Day School	0	0.00%

Demographics Strengths

Binion has a good mix of experience among staff members.

Binion has Social Contracts posted in all classrooms.

Feed the needs/meet our campus needs.

Really good at identifying special education and dyslexic needs of our students.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: High teacher turnover. Root Cause: We have had some inconsistent behavioral supports and processes that have led to teachers choosing to leave the school.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Domain 1, 2, and 3 - Overall Summary

County-District Number: 220902 District Name: BIRDVILLE ISD

Domain 1, 2, and 3 - Overall Summary

2022 Accountability Ratings Overall Summary for JACK C BINION EL

	Component Score	Scale Score	Letter Grade	Final	Domain Ratings	Overall Score
Domain I: Student Achievement		56	NR (F)		Domain I	
STAAR Performance	30	56			56%	
CCMR					30 / 0	
Graduation Rate					Domain II	NR (D)
Domain II: School Progress		70	\mathbf{C}		70%	INK (D)
Part A: Academic Growth	69	70	\mathbf{C}		70 70	
Part B: Relative Performance (Eco Dis: 79.4%)	30	56	F		Domain III	
Domain III: Closing the Gaps	25	61	NR (D)			67 out of 100
			Overall Scaled Score	67	61%	
			Overall Rating	NR (D)		
			2021 202	2 Student A	ahiayamant Damain	Cummany

2021 - 2022 Student Achievement Domain - Summary

	STAAR Component Details									
	# Assessments Totals		# Meets		% Meets Approaches		% Masters	Raw		
Elementary Schools (220902104) - Jack C Binion EL	769	424	191	81	55%	25%	11%	30		

Domain 1 - Details

2021 - 2022 Student Achievement for (220902104) JACK C BINION EL Details Behind the Score

STAAR Performance
Using STAAR Performance
(100%) As your Score
Student Achievement Domain Rating

Raw Score Scaled Score Weight % of 30 56 100%

STAAR Performance for 2021 - 2022

	All A Students A	African Hisj American	panicV	Vhite ^A m Ind	erican ian	Pac sian Isla		\mathbf{E}	con EL isadv(Cur	EL (Curr rent)& Moni	Eu (Cur	ial Sp Ed rent)(Fo
All											,	
Percent of Tests												
% at Approaches GL	55%	51	50	66	80	75		67	52	49	49	27
Standard or Above % at Meets GL Standard or												
Above	25%	16	21	36	60	75		17	22	19	19	9
% at Masters GL Standard	11%	3	8	18	40	25		8	9	7	7	0
Number of Tests												
# at Approaches GL	424	48	220	141	4	3	0	8	275	155	155	21
Standard or Above												
# at Meets GL Standard or Above	191	15	91	77	3	3	0	2	116	59	59	7
# at Masters GL Standard	81	3	35	39	2	1	0	1	47	22	22	0
Total Tests		5 438		15 5	4	0	12		31 317	317	78	13
Reading												
Percent of Tests												
% at Approaches GL Standard or Above	61%	62	56	72	100	100		40	58	53	53	33

T	4	-	4 • 1
Hamain		110	taila
Domain		. "	tails

32%	18	26	47	50	100		40	28	23	23	17
16%	5	13	27	50	0		20	14	12	12	0
197	24	103	64	2	2	0	2	132	71	71	12
103	7	49	42	1	2	0	2	64	31	31	6
52	2	24	24	1	0	0	1	32	16	16	0
322 39	185	89	2	2	0	5	22	26 135	135	36	6
51%	45	48	58	50	50		80	46	50	50	25
19%	15	16	26	50	50		0	17	17	17	3
7%	0	5	12	50	50		0	5	4	4	0
161	10	00	<i>5</i> 2	1	1	Λ	4	105	60	60	9
104	10	00	32	1	1	U	4	103	VO	VO	9
61	6	30	23	1	1	0	0	39	23	23	1
22	0	9	11	1	1	0	0	12	5	5	0
323 40	185	89	2	2	0	5	22	27 135	135	36	6
51%	38	43	68	100			100	49	34	34	0
22%	13	18	32	100			0	17	11	11	0
60/	6	2	11	Λ			Λ	1	2	2	0
0 70	U	3	11	U			U	4	2	2	U
63	6	29	25	1	0	0	2	38	16	16	0
	16% 197 103 52 322 39 51% 19% 7% 164 61 22 323 40 51% 22% 6%	16% 5 197 24 103 7 52 2 322 39 185 51% 45 19% 15 7% 0 164 18 61 6 22 0 323 40 185 51% 38 22% 13 6% 6	16% 5 13 197 24 103 103 7 49 52 2 24 322 39 185 89 51% 45 48 19% 15 16 7% 0 5 164 18 88 61 6 30 22 0 9 323 40 185 89 51% 38 43 22% 13 18 6% 6 3	16% 5 13 27 197 24 103 64 103 7 49 42 52 2 24 24 322 39 185 89 2 51% 45 48 58 19% 15 16 26 7% 0 5 12 164 18 88 52 61 6 30 23 22 0 9 11 323 40 185 89 2 51% 38 43 68 22% 13 18 32 6% 6 3 11	16% 5 13 27 50 197 24 103 64 2 103 7 49 42 1 52 2 24 24 1 322 39 185 89 2 2 51% 45 48 58 50 19% 15 16 26 50 7% 0 5 12 50 164 18 88 52 1 61 6 30 23 1 22 0 9 11 1 323 40 185 89 2 2 51% 38 43 68 100 22% 13 18 32 100 6% 6 3 11 0	16% 5 13 27 50 0 197 24 103 64 2 2 103 7 49 42 1 2 52 2 24 24 1 0 322 39 185 89 2 2 0 51% 45 48 58 50 50 19% 15 16 26 50 50 7% 0 5 12 50 50 164 18 88 52 1 1 61 6 30 23 1 1 22 0 9 11 1 1 323 40 185 89 2 2 0 51% 38 43 68 100 22% 13 18 32 100 6% 6 3 11 0	16% 5 13 27 50 0 197 24 103 64 2 2 0 103 7 49 42 1 2 0 52 2 24 24 1 0 0 322 39 185 89 2 2 0 5 51% 45 48 58 50 50 19% 15 16 26 50 50 7% 0 5 12 50 50 164 18 88 52 1 1 0 61 6 30 23 1 1 0 323 40 185 89 2 2 0 5 51% 38 43 68 100 22% 13 18 32 100 6% 6 3 11 0	16% 5 13 27 50 0 20 197 24 103 64 2 2 0 2 103 7 49 42 1 2 0 2 52 2 24 24 1 0 0 1 322 39 185 89 2 2 0 5 22 51% 45 48 58 50 50 80 19% 15 16 26 50 50 0 7% 0 5 12 50 50 0 164 18 88 52 1 1 0 4 61 6 30 23 1 1 0 0 322 0 9 11 1 1 0 0 323 40 185 89 2 2 0 5 22 51% 38 43 68 100 0 0	16% 5 13 27 50 0 20 14 197 24 103 64 2 2 0 2 132 103 7 49 42 1 2 0 2 64 52 2 24 24 1 0 0 1 32 322 39 185 89 2 2 0 5 226 135 51% 45 48 58 50 50 80 46 19% 15 16 26 50 50 0 17 7% 0 5 12 50 50 0 5 164 18 88 52 1 1 0 4 105 61 6 30 23 1 1 0 0 39 12 322 0 9 11 1 1 0 0 5 227 135 51% 38 43	16% 5 13 27 50 0 20 14 12 197 24 103 64 2 2 0 2 132 71 103 7 49 42 1 2 0 2 64 31 52 2 24 24 1 0 0 1 32 16 322 39 185 89 2 2 0 5 226 135 135 51% 45 48 58 50 50 80 46 50 19% 15 16 26 50 50 0 17 17 7% 0 5 12 50 50 0 5 4 164 18 88 52 1 1 0 4 105 68 61 6 30 23 1 1 0 0 12 5 322 0 9 11 1 1	16% 5 13 27 50 0 20 14 12 12 197 24 103 64 2 2 0 2 132 71 71 103 7 49 42 1 2 0 2 64 31 31 52 2 24 24 1 0 0 1 32 16 16 16 322 39 185 89 2 2 0 5 226 135 135 36 51% 45 48 58 50 50 80 46 50 50 19% 15 16 26 50 50 0 17 17 17 7% 0 5 12 50 50 0 5 4 4 164 18 88 52 1 1 0 4 105 68 68 61 6 30 23 1 1 0

Jack C. Binion Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com Campus #220902104 January 19, 2023 12:36 PM

Domain 1 - Details

# at Meets GL Standard or Above	27	2	12	12	1	0	0	0	13	5	5	0
# at Masters GL Standard	7	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0
Total Tests	124	16	68	37	1	0	0	2	78	47	47	6

Domain 2 - Details

County-District Number: 220902 District Name: BIRDVILLE ISD

Domain 2 - Details

School Progress for (2209 Details Behind the Score	02104) JACK	C BINION E	L Score	Relative Performance					
	Raw Score	Scale Score		Percent Economically Disadvantaged	Percent Eco Dis Range	STAAR C			
Academic Growth Score	69	70		79.4	79.1 to 80	30			
Relative Performance - C		C	70 out of 100						

ELA/Reading & Mathematics Academic Growth

	Current Yea	ır Performanc	e on STAAR					
	Did Not Med	et		Approaches			Meets Grade	Level
Prior Year Performance on STAAR	Progress No Applicable (points)	t Did Not Mee 0Progress (0 points)	Met or Exceeded Progress (1 point)	Progress Not Applicable (opoints)	t Did Not Mee Progress (1/2 points)	Met or Exceeded Progress (1 point)	Progress Not Applicable (opoints)	
Did Not Meet	-	48	61	-	0	47	-	-
Approached Grade Level	-	32	0	-	18	38	-	-
Meets Grade Level	3	-	_	7	-	-	-	8

Jack C. Binion Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com Campus #220902104 January 19, 2023 12:36 PM

						Domain 2 - Details				
Masters Grade Level	0	_	-	3	-	-	9	-		
Total Number of Test	3	80	61	10	18	85	9	8		
Total Points	0.0	0.0	61.0	0.0	9.0	85.0	0.0	4.0		
Score										

Reading Academic Growth

	Current Yea	ır Performanc	ce on STAAR					
	Did Not Med	et		Approaches	Grade Level		Meets Grad	le Level
Prior Year Performance on STAAR	0	r po		_	ot Did Not Me (0 Progress (1/ points)	H VCAANAN	O	ot Did Not N (0 Progress (points)
Did Not Meet	_	20	24	-	0	19	-	-
Approached Grade Level	_	8	0	-	8	19	-	-
Meets Grade Level	2	-	-	6	-	-	-	6
Masters Grade Level	0	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Total Number of Test	2	28	24	7	8	38	1	6
Total Points	0.0	0.0	24.0	0.0	4.0	38.0	0.0	3.0
Score								

Mathematics Academic Growth

	Current Yea	ır Performano	e on STAAR					
	Did Not Mee	et		Approaches	Grade Level		Meets Grad	e Level
Prior Year Performance on STAAR	Progress No Applicable (points)	t Did Not Mee 0Progress (0 points)	Met or Exceeded Progress (1 point)		t Did Not Mee 0 Progress (1/2 points)		Progress No Applicable (points)	
Did Not Meet	_	28	37	-	0	28	-	-
Approached Grade Level	-	24	0	-	10	19	_	-
Meets Grade Level	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	2
Masters Grade Level	0	-	_	2	-	-	8	-
Total Number of Test	1	52	37	3	10	47	8	2
Total Points	0.0	0.0	37.0	0.0	5.0	47.0	0.0	1.0
Score								

County-District Number: 220902 District Name: BIRDVILLE ISD

2022 Closing the Gap Performance Targets

2022 Closing the Gap Performance Targets for (220902104) - Jack C Binion EL

Component	TOTAL EVAIDATED		Percentage of Evaluated Indicators	Weight
•	Met		Met	C
Academic Achievement	0	18	0%	30.0%
Growth Status	7	14	50%	50.0%
English Language Proficiency	0	1	0%	10.0%
Student Success Status	0	9	0%	10.0%
*** = Component used for calculation	Closing t	he Gaps Domain 1	Raw Score	
	Closing t	he Gaps Domain S	Scale Score	
	Closing t	he Gaps Domain	Letter Score	

Academic Achievement (Percentage at Meets Grade Level or above) ***

Subject	All Studen	Africar nts Americ	Hisnar	nic White	Americ Indian	ean Asian	Pacific Islando	more	Econ	EL (Current and Monitore	Special Ed (Curren	Special Ed at)(Formo
Reading Target	44%	32%	37%	60%	43%	74%	45%	56%	33%	29%	19%	36%
Reading Meets GL	32%	18%	26%	47%	50%	100%	-	40%	28%	23%	17%	17%
Standard or Above	103	7	49	42	1	2	0	2	64	31	6	1
Standard of Above	322	39	185	89	2	2	0	5	226	135	36	6
Met Performance Target	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Met Minimum Size	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Mathematics Target	46%	31%	40%	59%	45%	82%	50%	54%	36%	40%	23%	44%

Mathematics Meets GL	19%	15%	16%	26%	50%	50%	-	-	17%	17%	3%	17%
	^L 61	6	30	23	1	1	0	0	39	23	1	1
Standard or Above	323	40	185	89	2	2	0	5	227	135	36	6
Met Performance Target	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Met Minimum Size	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Academic Achievement Component Score (Indicators Met ÷ Indicators Evaluated)

Growth Status (Academic Growth)

Subject	All Studen	African ts America	Hispan n	ic White	America Indian	nn Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or more Races	Econ Disadv	EL (Current and Monitored	Special Ed (Current	Special Ed t)(Formo
Reading Target	66%	62%	65%	69%	67%	77%	67%	68%	64%	64%	59%	65%
	75%	77%	72%	78%	100%	100%	-	67%	74%	66%	50%	100%
Reading Growth Score	134.0	17.0	71.5	41.5	1.0	1.0	_	2.0	94.5	40.5	10.0	2.0
	179	22	99	53	1	1	0	3	128	61	20	2
Met Performance Target	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Met Minimum Size	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Mathematics Target	71%	67%	69%	74%	71%	86%	74%	73%	68%	68%	61%	70%
Mathematics Growth Score	64% 127.0 197	55% 12.0 22	66% 77.0 117	64% 34.0 53	100% 1.0 1	- 0.0 1	- 0	100% 3.0 3	58% 82.5 143	59% 47.0 79	48% 9.5 20	100% 2.0 2
Met Performance Target	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Met Minimum Size	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No

Student Growth Component Score (Indicators Met ÷ Indicators Evaluated)

Graduation Rate Status (Federal) - This component requires 2019 - 2020 and 2018-2019 Final Grad and Dropout Data to be loaded to

	All Students	African Americar	Hispanio 1	e White	Americar Indian	¹ Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or more Races	Econ Disadv	EL (Current and Monitored	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed)(Formo
Target	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	N/A
2015 Statewide Baseline	89%	85%	87%	93%	86%	95%	89%	92%	78%	86%	72%	N/A
2020 % Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2021 % Graduated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Met Performance	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not Coded	Not	
Target	Coded	Coded or	Coded	Coded	Coded or	Coded	Coded	Coded	Coded	or Null	Coded or	•
rarget	or Null	Null	or Null	or Null	Null	or Null	or Null	or Null	or Null	or man	Null	
	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not Coded	Not	
Met Minimum Size	Coded	Coded or	Coded	Coded	Coded or	Coded	Coded	Coded	Coded	or Null	Coded or	•
	or Null	Null	or Null	or Null	Null	or Null	or Null	or Null	or Null	oi ivali	Null	

Graduation Rate Component Score (Indicators Met ÷ Indicators Evaluated)

English Language Proficiency Status ***

	All African Students American	American Asian	Pacific Two or Islander Races	Econ	EL (Current Ed Ed and (Current)(Formal Ed
ELP Target					36% 34% 71 207
Met Performance Target					No
Met Minimum Size					Yes

^{*} Student groups that are at or above 90 percent are required to exceed that rate by at least a tenth of a percent in the following year(s

English Language Proficiency Component Score (Indicators Met ÷ Indicators Evaluated)

Student Success Status ***

	All Students	African American	Hispanio 1	e White	Americar Indian	¹ Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or more Races	Econ Disadv	EL (Current and Monitored	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed)(Formo
STAAR Component Target	47%	36%	41%	58%	46%	73%	48%	55%	38%	37%	23%	43%
Avg of Approaches, Meets, Masters	30%	23%	26%	40%	60%	58%		31%	28%	25%	12%	18%
Approaches GL Standard or Above	55%	51%	50%	66%	80%	75%		67%	52%	49%	27%	38%
Meets GL Standard or Above	25%	16%	21%	36%	60%	75%		17%	22%	19%	9%	15%
Masters GL Standard	11%	3%	8%	18%	40%	25%		8%	9%	7%	0%	0%
Total Tests	769	95	438	215	5	4	0	12	531	317	78	13
Met Performance Target	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Met Minimum Size	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Student Success Component Score (Indicators Met ÷ Indicators Evaluated)

Chronic Absenteeism Rate During 2021 - 2022 for All ethnicities All populations

District/ Campus		Student At or Above 83% Membership Rate	Student At or Above 10% Absent Rate	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
220902	BIRDVILLE ISD 716		<u>154</u>	21.5 %
220902104	JACK C BINION 716		<u>154</u>	21.5 %

2021-2022 MATH READING MONOLINGUAL - 3 MONOLINGUAL -KINDER **BILINGUAL - 5 BILINGUAL - 7** 1ST GRADE MONOLINGUAL - 16 MONOLINGUAL - 21 BILINGUAL - 7 **BILINGUAL - 8** 2ND GRADE MONOLINGUAL - 23 MONOLINGUAL - 27 **BILINGUAL - 8 BILINGUAL - 11** 3RD GRADE MONOLINGUAL - 13 MONOLINGUAL - 16 **BILINGUAL - 6 BILINGUAL - 9** 4TH GRADE MONOLINGUAL - 7 MONOLINGUAL - 7 **BILINGUAL - 7 BILINGUAL - 12** 5TH GRADE MONOLINGUAL - 11 MONOLINGUAL - 19 **BILINGUAL - 13 BILINGUAL - 3**

The English Language Proficiency Status target measure set by the state for the TELPAS progress measure is 36%. In 2020-2021 Jack C. Binion School met the target with a TELPAS progress rate of 39%. In 2021-2022 Jack C. Binion School did not meet the target with a TELPAS progress rate of 34%. In comparing the progress rate from 2021 and 2022, Jack C. Binion demonstrated a 5% point decrease in students' English language development.

Student Learning Strengths

Social Emotional Systems in place and being taught.

Plan and implementation of small group pullout.

A quality bilingual program has been implemented and now it is in grades PK - 4.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Overall academic performance on STAAR is low. **Root Cause:** Instructional systems and processes need tighter alignment across the campus and need to be used to fidelity.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

We have a campus newsletter. We have a master schedule that maximizes instructional time and provides designated intervention and specials time. The campus uses BISD's curriculum to drive our instruction. The campus has a leadership team in place. The campus had an administrative team that meets every week to discuss all aspects of the school. We have a designated campus instructional coach.

Interventionists on our campus

- 2 Full Time Math Interventionist (1 Bilingual & 1 Monolingual)
- 3 Full Time Reading Interventionist 3 Monolingual
- 1 Full Time EA Reading Interventionist Bilingual
- 1 Half Time Bilingual Reading Interventionist

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Students are cared about my staff.

Overall positive feeling among staff.

Students feel safe and secure at school.

We have implemented CKH and are using it across the campus.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Too many referrals and behavior/discipline issues. **Root Cause:** Extreme social and emotional needs of our students.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): PLC consistency and impact. Root Cause: Accountability to the process and a clear established process plus turnover at the coaching position.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Involvement from our surrounding community organizations and members is good. We have received good feedback from various surveys on overall school safety, customer satisfaction, ESL classes and a mentoring program is offered. Staff retention has been low and turnover has been high the past 3 years.

Perceptions Strengths

Counseling and support programs.

Capturing Kids Hearts.

Church and outreach support.

Tutoring and intervention support.

Mentoring Program.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Parent Involvement in the area of academics. Root Cause: Too busy to get involved, do not feel welcomed, and lack of understanding.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Overall academic performance on STAAR is low.

Root Cause 1: Instructional systems and processes need tighter alignment across the campus and need to be used to fidelity.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: PLC consistency and impact.

Root Cause 2: Accountability to the process and a clear established process plus turnover at the coaching position.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Goals

Revised/Approved: October 24, 2022

Goal 1: Students will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to the academic, social, and emotional needs of the student.

Performance Objective 1: All students under our care will make one year's progress or more in reading and mathematics, science and social studies between the beginning and end of year.

a.) We will Improve performance in Domain 3 Closing the Gap by making more than a year's growth in mathematics which would in turn increase the number of students performing at the "meets" and "masters" level.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CLI Engage - CIRCLE (prekindergarten reading and math), mClass (kindergarten reading and math), mClass (grades 1-2 reading), and Renaissance STAR Assessments (reading, grades 3-5 and mathematics, grades 1-5) Campus CFA's Elementary: BAS BOY, MOY EOY....Fountas & Pinnell reading levels, Education Galaxy pre - post tests, Think UP, TEA Interims, Brain POP, Stemscopes, Historic STAAR Data, CBA Data and Unit Test

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Build teacher capacity as we implement the BISD literacy plan.		Formative		Summative
Actions: 1. Utilize LOL, campus coaches, and dual language coaches to systematically support teachers with expected outcomes throughout the year with professional development. 2. Provide mini and full coaching cycles through the campus instructional coach for all teachers on the campus. 3. Set up opportunities for teachers to watch model classrooms once a semester during ART/PLC with a specific focus to watch for. 4. Work in PLC will be focused on lesson plan reflection driven by BISD Lesson Protocol, Tier 1 Priorities, PDSA, data, and building of 9 week CFA's for math, reading and science in grades 2 -5. 5. Create opportunities for our teacher leaders to train our staff on the literacy components of reading, writing, speaking, and listening in math, science, reading, technology and writing through staff meetings, vertical team meetings, professional development days, and during PLC. 6. Review of lesson plans to monitor that Tier 1 priorities are being used and that the literacy components are embedded in the plans. 7. Meet with campus instructional coach twice a month to discuss progress in building capacity using the Principal/Coach Meet tool from the Diane Sweeney Consulting group. 8. All classroom teachers will be trained on Tier 1 priorities by the teaching and learning team. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, LOL, Instructional Coaches TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: Instructional coaches - 199 - General Funds: SCE	Nov 25%	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Identify and train staff to administer progress monitoring assessments with fidelity for reading and mathematics in grades PK - 5. Actions: 1. Train teachers with the BAS/SEL and mClass assessment. 2. Use administration, teaching and learning staff, interventionists and campus coaches to support teachers instructionally in response to the progress monitoring data. 3. Use Eduphoria - Aware to build 9 week CFA's and monitor student progress data. 4. Recalibrate BAS testing with all teachers. 5. Do walk-throughs to monitor the use of guided reading. 6. Monitor to see that the UPS check is being done in the math classrooms. 7. Each grade level will produce a data wall displaying STAAR Renaissance, BAS, MClass and/or Unit Assessments. 8. Once each progress monitoring assessment occurs there is a data meeting between teacher and student. Students have individual data folders and teachers have a class data folder.	Nov 25%	Formative Jan	Mar	Summative June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Instructional Coaches, Assistant Principals, Teaching and Learning Team Funding Sources: Interventionists - 199 - General Funds: SCE, Campus Personnel - 211 - Title I - \$190,990				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discont	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Overall academic performance on STAAR is low. **Root Cause**: Instructional systems and processes need tighter alignment across the campus and need to be used to fidelity.

Goal 1: Students will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to the academic, social, and emotional needs of the student.

Performance Objective 2: Close achievement gaps that exist for all under-performing student groups as measured by state and district assessments.

a) In addition, meet all progress monitoring targets for grades prekindergarten - 3rd in reading and mathematics for all student groups as measured by a district approved monitoring instrument.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Historical performance by student subgroup on state and district assessments

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Vertical teams meet to support all staff in building their capacity to implement vertically effective and aligned		Formative		Summative
instruction. Actions: 1. Vertical team meetings the week of August 11th ELAR, Math and Science. 2. October 3rd ELAR, October 4th Math, October 5th Science - 2nd 9 Weeks. 3. December 5th ELAR, December 6th Math, December 7th Science - 3rd 9 Weeks 4. February 27th ELAR, February 28th Math, March 1st Science - 4th 9 Weeks 5. Each grade level will have a representative attend all vertical team meetings. 6. Each meeting will have an agenda and attendance sheet. 7. Key vocabulary and scope and sequence will be discussed. 8. Teaching and Learning Staff will be invited to each vertical team meeting. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Vertical Team Leaders, Instructional Coach Title I: 2.4	Nov 25%	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
trategy 3: Provide opportunities for our students, parents and the community to be engaged in the whole educational		Formative		Summative
rocess.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Actions: 1. Develop and distribute a campus Parent and Family Engagement Compact	1107		11262	
2. Conduct Title 1 meetings and curriculum nights - math, reading and science to explain the programs and services	2504			
- Our first Title 1 Parent Meeting was September 29th from 5:30 to 6:30.	25%			
- Our Second Title 1 informational event is on Saturday, October 22nd.				
3. Collaborate with PTA to schedule and host school wide events to support students and families				
4. Provide Parent Workshops - Strengthening Families (Tentative November Start Date)				
5. Pastries with Parents - Parenting Information in the evenings.				
6. Academy 4 Mentoring Program Implemented for 4th grade students & Leaders 5 with 5th and 1st grade.				
- Academy 4 Fridays are September 23rd, October 21st, December 2nd, January 20th, February 1oth, March 24th,				
April 14th, May 19th				
7. ESL Classes for Parents every Tuesday from 8:30 - 10:30				
8. Continue Partnership with City Point Methodist Church				
- Our City Point Community Meal will be on November 16th from 5 to 7.:00				
9. Organize a Hispanic Heritage Event				
10. We provide an after-school program with the LINK for our students.				
11. Good News Club for the Fall will be from September 8th - November 10th from 3:30 - 4:45				
12. We will have multiple musical performances.				
- 3rd Grade Musical Program December 8th from 6:30 - 7:30				
- 1st Grade Musical Program April 13th from 6:30 - 7:30				
13. We will be hosting 5 Dual Language Family Literacy Events from 4:15 - 5:00 in our cafeteria				
- November 9th, December 7th, January 11th, February 8th, March 8th				
14. We will have our schoolwide Title 1 Literacy/Book Fair Event on November 17th from 5:30 - 7:30				
15. We will have our Title 1 Science Family Night on December 13th from 6 to 8				
16. We will also host a Title 1 Math Family Night TBD				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration and Counselors and District Support				
TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Funding Sources: Family Engagement Resources - 211 - Title I - \$2,762				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: Train, support and implement campus-wide instructional practices and strategies appropriate for English		Formative		Summative
Learners.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Actions: 1. All core teachers will demonstrate evidence of implementing strategies that support ESL content-based program. 2. All core teaches will work to complete their required ESL certification. 3. Dual language teachers will demonstrate evidence of implementing Dual Language 80/20 Model. The Program is being introduced in 4th grade this year. 4. In Kinder - 2nd grade we want to determine evidence of student engagement and biliteracy implementation through the physical environment and instructional strategies. 5. In Kinder - 2nd grade we want to observe students actively engaged in instructional experiences that embed biliteracy strategies to increase academic discourse and/or English language development. 6. Clarissa Castro our Bilingual/ESL lead teacher will attend the Annual TABE conference. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Multilingual Department	20%			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Goal 1: Students will achieve their full potential through a system that is responsive to the academic, social, and emotional needs of the student.

Performance Objective 3: Students will display an increased awareness of social-emotional development as measured by a district-administered student survey and less students on Tier 3 for behavior.

Evaluation Data Sources: Social-Emotional Learning survey

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Implement and teach a district approved social-emotional curriculum.		Formative		Summative
Actions: 1. Weekly SEL lessons provided through Character Strong curriculum and activities during Friday WIN Time. 2. Provide counseling for students who have high higher social emotional needs or in crisis. 3. Provide weekly SEL schoolwide morning announcements 4. Train Students Supporting Students 5. Celebrate 2 students from each class for each 9 weeks who demonstrate outstanding character 6. In Physical Education classes teachers will incorporate and reinforce SEL components 7. Individual and group counseling sessions as needed 8. Utilize SCE funded Crisis Counselors to provide support to students in areas of social-emotional learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Counselors, Teachers Funding Sources: Crisis Counselor - 199 - General Funds: SCE	Nov 25%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Develop and implement the self-accountability, relationship building, and conflict resolution components in		Formative		Summative
Capturing Kids Hearts & Conscious Discipline. Reduce the number of students assigned to behavioral RtI Tiers 2 and 3. Actions: 1. Teaching staff trained in Capturing Kids Hearts.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June

 Greeting Kids at the door every morning. Social Contracts built and posted. Hand Signals 4 Questions Affirmations Class Ambassadors CKH Recharge Training in Introduce Conscious Discipline - Training beginning in October Mr. Bartlett will attend Conscious Discipline Training in Octob Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Counselors an ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Funding Sources: Professional Development - 211 - Title I - \$20,0 	d Teacher Leaders		25%		
No Progress (100%) Ac	complished	Continue/Modify	X Discont	tinue	

Goal 2: Utilize efficient and effective operations to support and improve the learning organization.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the annual total average daily attendance (ADA) as compared to the prior school year, through improved student retention, recruitment, and days in attendance.

Evaluation Data Sources: Overall district ADA as per Summer PEIMS submission

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Develop and implement a campus-wide program to increase student attendance.		Formative		Summative
Actions: 1. Award students with an Ice Cream Coupon each nine weeks 2. Conference with parent to encourage increased attendance 3. Campus administration and attendance clerk collaborate with Tom Ladesau on severe truancy cases to increase attendance 4. Bobcat Lanyards and Bobcat Button Awards at semester and end of year for excellent attendance 5. Print daily attendance reports 6. Post weekly attendance and honor best class % each 9 weeks - PDSA 7. 2022-2023 Attendance Incentives Plan - Weekly: Pencil and Popsicle Drawing (10 winners) - Monthly: Doughnuts/Cookies for the class with the highest attendance rate per grade level (7 classes) - Nine Weeks: Spirit Monkey tag to the class with the highest attendance rate (1 class) Dance and snack during specials for students with perfect attendance that nine weeks Activity Night (4:00 PM - 5:30 PM) for the class with the highest attendance rate (1 class) Semester: Lanyard and JCB button for students with 2 or less absences & entered into bike drawing (2 winners chosen) Year: NRH2O passes drawing for student with perfect attendance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, All staff, Truancy Officer, Attendance Clerk Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Nov 25%	Jan	Mar	June

Goal 2: Utilize efficient and effective operations to support and improve the learning organization.

Performance Objective 2: Use continuous improvement to identify and improve processes and outcomes in every grade level and on the campus.

Evaluation Data Sources: Evaluation of goal achievement as per department improvement plans.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Implement continuous improvement components to achieve campus goals.		Formative		Summative
Actions: 1. Continue to support and monitor campus wide implementation of continuous improvement (PDSA, SMART Goals, Data tracking, Data Folders, Surveys) 2. Meet with LOL when needed to address needs of the campus. 3. PLC process will be established to track SMART goals and campus trends and data. 4. Work with Watauga to build common formative assessments for math, reading, and science for grades 2 - 5 for first assessment; 5. Build our own common assessments for math, reading and science for grades 2 - 5. 6. Conduct PDSA refresher training, Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Instructional Coaching	Nov 25%	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	'	

Goal 3: All students and staff will learn and work in a safe and responsive environment.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the percentage of students and staff who report feeling safe at school.

Evaluation Data Sources: Safe School's Report,

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Review the perception data from students, staff and parents campus safety survey and implement the district-		Formative		Summative
wide safety program. Actions: 1. Review and analyze existing safety survey data to identify areas of concern and take corrective actions based on findings 2. Include corrective actions in quarterly reviews 3. Meet monthly with Campus Safety Committee 4. Monitor and address safety and security standards as defined in school safety audits 5. Train staff on updated school wide safety manual on appropriate actions a to be taken in emergency situations 6. Have students and staff take CKH survey	Nov 15%	Jan	Mar	June
7. Have students take SEL survey Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Conduct all safety routines and drills as required and perform routine exterior and interior door checks.		Rev Formative	riews	Summative
Actions: 1. Exterior door checks every morning conducted by campus administration and custodians.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
 2. All gates are locked and closed at 8:10 every day. 3. Random interior door audits performed by campus and district administration. 4. All drills conducted and recorded in Navigate 360. 	25%			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Title I

1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)

1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment

The Comprehensive Needs Assessment was completed in May 2022 based on spring survey feedback, STAAR achievement, TELPAS and universal screener results, attendance and behavior data compiled in April and May of 2022.

2. Campus Improvement Plan

2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders

The Campus Improvement Plan was developed through the input and involvement of the following:

Donald Bartlett, Principal

Alicia Rourke, Administrative Assistant
Molly Reyes, Teacher
Yadira Jimenez, Teacher
Angela Bailey, Counselor
Lindsey Jones, Assistant Principal
Sara Muetzenberg, Assistant Principal
Lynn DeMoss, Community Member
Lauren Jones, District Representative Learning Coach
Jennifer Thomas, Parent
Jesse Rourke, Buisness Representative
Misty DeMoss, Teacher

2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

The Campus Improvement Plan is revised quarterly and evaluated in November, January, March, and June.

2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language

The Campus Improvement Plan is made available to parents and the public through the campus website in English and other languages as needed. The plan is also available through the district website in English, and a printed copy may be requested in the campus front office.

2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards

Through the Campus Needs Assessment, 72.4% of students were identified as at-risk based on one or more of the following criteria:

- 1. low performance on a readiness test or assessment instrument
- 2. semester failure of two or more academic subjects
- 3. grade retention
- 4. lack of satisfactory performance on state-mandated testing
- 5. pregnancy or parenthood
- 6. placement in an alternative education program
- 7. expulsion
- 8. parole, probation, deferred prosecution, or conditional release
- 9. drop out status
- 10. limited English proficiency
- 11. custody or care of the Department of Protective and Regulatory Services
- 12. homelessness
- 13. residing in detention, substance abuse treatment, emergency shelter, psychiatric, halfway house, or foster group home

Additional assistance will be provided to these students through specialized instructional support, mentoring, social-emotional learning programs, tiered interventions, and professional development for teachers to improve their effectiveness.

2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education

Student learning will be supported through research-based instructional strategies such as:

- Capturing Kids Hearts
- Conscious Discipline
- Workshop Model
- Sheltered Instruction
- Leveled Literacy Intervention

Increased learning time is provided through pullout intervention programs and targeted tutorials.

2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk

Student enrollment, attendance, and success will be supported through targeted support programs that address the specific needs of our at-risk student population, as well as schoolwide incentive programs, individual and class recognitions, two-way communication between the school and home, and parent and family engagement activities.

3. Annual Evaluation

3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan

The Campus Needs Assessment (CNA) is completed each spring through a multi-stakeholder collaborative process using multiple sources of data to evaluate the effectiveness of programs and practices.

The CNA addresses:

- 1. Demographics
- 2. Perceptions
- 3. Student Learning
- 4. Processes and Programs

The findings of the CNA are used to inform the Campus Improvement Plan (CIP). Both the CNA and CIP are presented to the Site Based

Committee for review and feedback at the end of the school year.

4. Parent and Family Engagement (PFE)

4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy

The Parent and Family Engagement Plan is made available to parents and the public through the campus website in English and other languages as practicable. A printed copy is provided during the fall Title I meeting, and by request in the campus front office.

4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings

Most campus family engagement activities are scheduled for Thursday evenings and Friday mornings in order to accommodate parents' work schedules (exceptions noted below). The following family engagement activities are planned for 2022-23:

- August Refresh Back to School Event (Friday 9:00-1:00) at WG Thomas Coliseum
- August Meet the Teacher Night
- October Title I Meeting (Thursday/Friday) on campus
- November Literacy Event (Thursday/Friday) on campus
- December Book Fair (Monday through Thursday 8:00-5:00) on campus
- January STEM Event (Thursday/Friday) on campus
- March Open House (Thursday only) on campus
- March Discover Birdville Event (Saturday 9:00-10:00) at Birdville High School
- April Family Engagement Policy and Compact Revision (Thursday/Friday) on campus
- May Art Show (Tuesday through Friday 8:00-6:00) at Haltom Public Library

5. Targeted Assistance Schools Only

Title I Personnel

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Angela Rhodes	Reading Interventionist	Title I	1.0
Dara Chaney	Math Interventionist	Title I	1.0
Molly Reyes	Math Interventionist	Title I	0.5

Campus Funding Summary

			199 - General Funds: SCE		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Instructional coaches		\$0.00
1	1	2	Interventionists		\$0.00
1	2	2	Campus Personnel		\$72,009.00
1	3	1	Crisis Counselor		\$0.00
		•	•	Sub-Total	\$72,009.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount					\$72,009.00
+/- Difference					\$0.00
			211 - Title I		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	2	Campus Personnel		\$190,990.00
1	2	2	Title I Tutors		\$19,238.00
1	2	2	Instructional Resources		\$43,248.00
1	2	3	Family Engagement Resources		\$2,762.00
1	3	2	Professional Development		\$20,000.00
				Sub-Total	\$276,238.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount					\$276,238.00
+/- Difference					\$0.00
			ESSER	·	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	2	2	ESSER Tutors		\$69,776.00
			•	Sub-Total	\$69,776.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				\$69,776.00	
+/- Difference					\$0.00
Grand Total Budgeted					\$418,023.00
Grand Total Spent					\$418,023.00
+/- Difference					

Addendums